A Preliminary Study On the Vectorization of Multimedia Applications for Multimedia Extensions





Peng Wu IBM T.J. Watson Research



David Padua

University of Illinois



Presented by Gang Ren

Multimedia Extensions (MME)

- □ Additions to accelerate multimedia applications
 - For general-purpose processors:
 - □ MAX(HP), VIS(Sun), AltiVec(Motorola/IBM/Apple), SSE(Intel)
 - For special-purpose processors:
 - □ PS2(SONY), Graphics Processing Unit(NVIDIA)





Programming Multimedia Extensions





Motivation





Gaps From Architecture





A Preliminary Study On the Vectorization of Multimedia Applications for Multimedia Extensions

LCPC '03

MME vs. Vector Processor

- Differences in memory unit
 - MME: No scatter/gather memory operations

```
for(i=0; i<8; i++)
for(j=0; j<8; j++)
s += a[i*8+j] * b[j*8+i];</pre>
```

- MME: Only support aligned memory access
- Differences in ISA
 - MME: Special instructions for media processing
 - **Example:** Saturated Operations
 - MME: Non-uniform support for different element types
 - □ SSE2: Max/min operations on 16-bit short integers



Gaps From Applications





Berkeley Multimedia Workload

- Evolves from MediaBench
- □ 12 applications written in C/C++
 - Audio compression: ADPCM, GSM, LAME, mpg123
 - Image/video compression: DVJU, JPEG, MPEG2
 - Graphics: POVray, Mesa, Doom
 - Others: Rsynth, Timidity
- □ Where are the example codes from?
 - Important loops in core procedures (>10% total ex. time)



Where Are Gaps From?

- Different programming styles
 - Pointer access
 - Manually unrolled loops
- □ Mismatches between application and language
 - Integer promotion
 - Saturated operation
- Different code patterns
 - Bit-wise operations
 - Lookup tables



C Language Issues: Integer Promotion

- □ Integer promotion
 - Forced by ANSI C semantics (ISO/IEC 9899:1999)
 - □ All *char* or *short* types are automatically promoted to *integer* type before conducting any arithmetic operations.
 - Fit traditional scalar architecture well
- □ MME supports sub-word level parallelism
 - Integer promotion will waste computation bandwidth
- □ How to eliminate unnecessary integer promotion?
 - Some analyses neededto ensure the same result

```
for(i=0; i<1024; i++)
for(j=0; j<1024; j++)
dst[i,j]=src1[i,j]+src2[i,j];</pre>
```



C Language Issues: Saturated Operations

```
for(i=0; i<1024; i++)</pre>
    for(j=0; j<1024; j++) {</pre>
     dst[i, j]=src1[i, j]+src2[i, j];
     if(dst[i,j] > 255)
       dst[i,j] = 255;
     if(dst[i,j] < 0)
       dst[i, j] = 0;
    }
```











Code Pattern: Lookup Tables

To implement saturated operations
To replace expensive math function calls

```
/* From BMW/Lame */
if (init==0)
for (i=0;i<LUTABSIZE;i++)
lutab[i]=pow(...);
...
for (i=0;i<1_end;i++) {
  temp=...;
  if (temp<1000.0) {
    ix[i]=lutab[(temp*10)];
  }
}</pre>
```



Some Related Work

- Compilation based on traditional vectorization
 - Cheong and Lam's optimizer for VIS (Sun)
 - Krall and Lelait's traditional vectorizer for VIS
 - Sreraman and Govindarajan's vectorizer for MMX(Intel)
 - Aart's intra-register vectorization for the Intel architecture
- □ Other compilation techniques
 - Krall and Lelait's "Vectorization by loop unrolling"
 - Larsen and Amarasinghe's "Superword level parallelism"
 - Fisher and Dietz's "*SIMD-within-a-register*"
- Product compilers
 - VAST/AltiVec, CodePlay/VectorC, Intel compiler,...



Conclusions

- □ Gaps exist between traditional vectorization and compilation for multimedia extensions
 - From differences between two architectures
 - From different programming styles, mismatch with language semantics, different code patterns
- Additional compiler techniques need to be developed or extended to bridge these gaps



Future Work

- Our first step to unleash the power of MMEs
 - Manual vectorization to see how far we can go
 - Implement our vectorizer on SUIF
- □ Propose new techniques to bridge the gaps
- Extend application domain
 - Traditional applications: SPECfp, SPECint
 - Applications for embedded systems



